

CSM SPRING 2023 RESEARCH AWA (RAWA)

RAWA Evaluation Rubric

Evaluators will score each proposal in the following categories as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor. The proposals and ratings will therein be discussed in a panel.

Item	E 5	VG 4	G 3	F 2	P 1
Adherence to Guidelines. The InfoReady submission is filled out completely and correctly and the chair's email has been included to ensure that the chair has been notified. The proposal includes all the required components. Page limits are within guidelines: 3-page narrative (excluding bibliography), 2-page résumé.					
Purpose and Significance. The narrative describes why the project has scholarly importance. The research question to be answered or the scope and purpose of the creative work is adequately described. The manner in which the research will add to the body of scholarship in the discipline is described. If applicable, a review of the relevant literature with appropriate references to the most recent literature is included. The proposal can be easily understood by a colleague who is not an expert in the field.					
Recent Accomplishments. The faculty member has demonstrated steady scholarly output over the past three years and/or has steadily been engaged in scholarly activities over the past three years without major gaps. Explanations of major gaps have been justified.					
Dissemination of Results. The manner in which the results of the project will be disseminated to the scholarly and creative community is clearly described, including papers, presentations, books, and other scholarly products that are anticipated as a result of the work. The likelihood of completion of these scholarly products and any anticipated obstacles to their completion is described. The project is likely to result in scholarly products that will have significant impact on the relevant disciplinary scholarly/creative community.					
Outcomes and Deliverables. The scholarly growth and development anticipated for the RAWA applicant is described clearly. Other scholarly opportunities that might become available to the applicant following the completion of this project are clearly described. If applicable, anticipated educational outcomes for students involved in the project are described. If applicable, the manner in which other sources of funding might be identified for a subsequent project or continuation of the project, such as grant proposals to be submitted or partnerships to be developed, is described. Outcomes and deliverables that are separate from the scholarly products (books, paper, presentations) are likely to be achieved and will make a significant contribution to the applicant, as well as to the department, college, and university strategic goals.					
Total					

E = Excellent VG = Very Good G = Good F = Fair P = Poor

RAWA Scoring Guide

Based on the scores and discussion during the panel, evaluators will then place the proposal in one of three categories:

1. Highly Competitive
2. Competitive
3. Not Competitive

The recommendations of the panel will be taken into consideration by the Dean, who will make the final decisions on the awards to be made.

SAMPLE