AGENDA ITEM I – CALL TO ORDER:

Chair Matlawski called the meeting to order. Chair Matlawski led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Council members present were Members: Matlawski, Tomlinson, Dozor, Silberman, Kaliszak, Kinsey

Absent but accounted for were Members: Franklin, Lewis, Fillippo, Ireland, Moskowitz,

AGENDA ITEM II – APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING AS DISTRIBUTED TO THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES

MOTION (DOZOR/TOMLINSON)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 3, 2019 MEETING AS PRESENTED

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED
AGENDA ITEM III – PUBLIC COMMENT: LIMIT OF TEN (10) MINUTES:
None

AGENDA ITEM IV – REPORT OF THE COUNCIL CHAIRPERSON

Chairperson Matlawski, “Next is the report of the council chairperson, I do not have a report other than as Judge Dozor reminded me, we’d like to take this opportunity to congratulate council person Marion Moskowitz on her recent election which, we’ll all take a feather in our cap for being friends with her so that’s all good.”

AGENDA ITEM V – REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT

Chairperson Matlawski, “Moving forward, the next thing on our agenda is the report of our University President and I call on President Fiorentino for his report. Thank you.”

President Fiorentino, “Thank you, I appreciate that. Good Afternoon everybody, lots of great things happening on the campus and I have a generally upbeat list that we are happy about. Since we last met we had homecoming and it was very heavily attended. We had the Class of ’59 reunion, the Class of ’69 reunion, and had a great kick-off event over at the Foundation and all the events were very heavily attended. We’re seeing definite upticks in attendance compared to recent years. We also had our circle dinner at which we honor the major donors of the University and again the crowd, the number of tables we had, we went from, I guess we had about 130 attending last year and this year
we had about 190. So that’s a good sign given that to be invited to that you have to give a significant gift to the University so, that is a terrific thing to see. Other events that I’ve been able to participate in recently, we had last Saturday morning we had the scholarship event for the veterans and ROTC cadets and a significant number of scholarships were received, about 55 if I remember correctly and that’s always a wonderful event, a lot of support for our military. Taylor made a comment about communication with the administration and I am having a significant number of contacts with students, some are at Tanglewood with Sue, some are breakfast meetings with Zeb Davenport, tomorrow morning I think. Breakfast, lunches, dinners, that we have with different groups of students, we work with student affairs, we’ve had wellness ambassadors and a whole host of student groups and we always learn things from meeting with the students and as a matter of fact at one of the lunches last year we had a conversation about the commuter students not really having a great place on campus and we just cut the ribbon on the new facility up on the 3rd floor of Sykes that is dedicated for the commuter students and that was a direct result of somebody pointing out that we really should do something about that. So, that was terrific. I also wanted to comment on the town hall, the SGA town hall that Taylor mentioned. It was a great event, there were a lot of issues that were raised, questions that were asked and the students were great, they’re very respectful in their conversations. We’ve had times where that wasn’t necessarily the case where there were a lot of high emotions but, we had a full team of senior administrators there and we had a lot of opportunity to
interact with the students and we appreciate that and we look forward to continuing that practice going forward so that’s terrific. Other things, we had Nina Totenberg on campus, the NPR commentator, that was a very well attended event. We had her to Tanglewood for dinner and had a group of alumni and friends who attended and that was very lively, lots of interesting things to talk about around her beat which is the supreme court and issues related to national government. Another great event we had, we had another successful year in terms of meeting our enrollment goals and a lot of people did a lot of hard work. I think that Jeff and others could reflect on the fact that the demographics that we’re living with right now are creating a lot of competition in the region some of the other major schools are working very hard, upping their scholarship support for students so, we really had to pull out all the stops to meet our enrollment goals and we did it successfully. We had a nice event on the patio at Tanglewood to recognize everybody who contributed to that and it was really interesting to see just how many people on this campus play a key role in meeting our enrollment goals which is the key to our fiscal stability. We really do need to maintain our enrollment, we graduate a significant number every year and we have to be able to generate new students or we’re going to quickly get ourselves into trouble so, I really thank everyone who participated in that. A lot of work going on at the system office, of course the Chancellor is moving ahead with the system redesign program and WCU leadership is playing a major role, I’ve commented in the past that we have several people who are involved in the leadership: Zeb Davenport, Laurie Bernotsky, Jeff
Osgood, Todd Murphy. Laurie is not here because she’s in Harrisburg again, up there with the CAO’s and we feel it’s very important that we participate both in terms of contributing the expertise of our leadership but also making sure that we’re advocating on behalf of the best interest of this institution which is very important for us. So, these things are moving ahead very well. Speaking of the Chancellor, he did visit campus. A number of you were able to participate. It was a very, I think, positive and upbeat event. He met with students, he met with faculty. We had a great turn out from our legislative delegation and elected officials, about 14 or so people, which is the biggest turn out I can remember for something like that. A lot of people are interested in what’s going on and advocating on our behalf and we appreciate that support. We had trustees also attend and we also appreciate your attendance. And then I had a chance to just sit down with the Chancellor and we had a very, very positive and cordial discussion. So, things are moving ahead and I feel as though we’re in a position to make sure that we’re being heard and that our interests are being taken into consideration as we work through some of the challenges at the system office. I was able to attend the AASCU fall meeting, the American Association of State Colleges and Universities. It was a great meeting, there were lots of great sessions for us to attend and a lot of presidents to be able to interact with and I was glad that we went and spent a couple days at the meeting. Another group that I’m just starting to work with now, I’m on the Greater Philadelphia Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors, which is I think, a great thing for the University to be involved there. We’re developing a
high profile in Philadelphia, a lot of us are engaging down there. The meeting I attended a week and a half ago was the President’s Alliance. One of the things that we recognize as a region is that this is a higher education powerhouse region and we’re graduating collectively huge numbers of well-educated qualified young people who are going into the work force, staying in this region and when we look at opportunities like the Amazon headquarters discussion, we didn’t win that but we competed very effectively. But, one of the things that was recognized was that we really rivaled cities like Boston for the concentration of higher education and we need to market not just individual universities but market collectively what is available in this region because we can all benefit to the extent that we can attract industry to the region. And it’s pretty clear right now that a lot of businesses are making location decisions based on where they can find a work force because obviously right now the unemployment rate is very low, the availability of a well prepared work force is a real challenge and Philadelphia can really play that role so I’m glad to be participating in that with a number of other university presidents from the region. A couple other things I just want to mention, we had the Swope Scholarship Luncheon the other day. The Swope family has been providing scholarship dollars to West Chester students for, well as a matter of fact, in attendance at this particular event was the 4th generation of Swope family members who are participating as members of this board, and the total number of students now recipients of the Swope Scholarship is at 545 and it reflects millions of dollars of scholarships granted to our students and it’s
always a very nice event and I just wanted to give the Swope family a shout out because they've been long time supporters. And as a matter of fact, we figured out that if you go back to Charles S. Swope, who was the president from 1935-1959, he started here as a student and graduated in 1921, so that means that if you look at where we are now, it’s been 100 years that the Swope family has been affiliated with this University. So, congratulations to them and thank you for all the support. And the last thing I want to mention is we’re currently engaged in a food drive to support our food pantry and we have a call out to the campus community and we’re looking to replenish the shelves of our food pantry. As you know, we have many students on the campus who have food insecurity challenges and we make sure that no one on this campus is going hungry. So, the campus community is stepping up and we’re very appreciative of that. And that concludes my report. I’d like to now ask for approval of purchase orders and contracts for August 1\textsuperscript{st} through September 30\textsuperscript{th}, 2019.”

MOTION (DOZOR/SILBERMAN):

APPROVAL OF PURCHASE ORDERS AND CONTRACTS FOR AUGUST 2019 AND SEPTEMBER 2019

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

AGENDA ITEM VI – REPORT OF THE VICE PRESIDENT:

None
AGENDA ITEM VII – REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:
None

AGENDA ITEM VIII – REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEES:
Chairperson Matlawski asked for report from the standing committees.

Chairperson Matlawski reported for the Finance and Administration Committee: I’ll start off with finance and administration since committee members are not present, I did hear the reports, we have four resolutions which I will read each one and ask for a motion on. The first is with respect to the audited financial statements,

MOTION (DOZOR /SILBERMAN):

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Chairperson Matlawski continues, “Next, is a resolution with respect to property purchase of 204 Carter Drive.”
MOTION (TOMLINSON/DOZOR)

PROPERTY PURCHASE OF 204 CARTER DRIVE

BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES OF WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEREBY RECOMMENDS TO THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS THAT THE BOARD APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 204 CARTER DRIVE WEST GOSHEN TWP. PENNSYLVANIA AT A POTENTIAL PURCHASE PRICE OF $1,600,000 TO $1,800,000 WITH A CLOSING DATE TO BE DETERMINED AFTER APPROVAL OF THE PASSHE BOARD OF GOVERNORS AND UPON AGREEMENT WITH THE OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY, SAID RECOMMENDATION IS CONTINGENT UPON A SATISFACTORY COMPLETION OF A TITLE SEARCH AND PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT. WE FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE UNIVERSITY CONTINUE TO PROCESS THE PURCHASE PROPERTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS AS OUTLINED IN PASSHE FACILITIES MANUAL AND SEEK APPROVALS FROM THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Chairperson Matlawski, “Next would be the resolution regarding residence hall furniture reconfiguration.”

Member Dozor, “Can we talk about that?”

Chairperson Matlawski, “Let me read it first and see if there is a motion to put it on the table.”
MOTION (TOMLINSON/DOZOR)

TO APPROVE WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA’S RECONFIGURATION OF RESIDENCE HALL SPACES WHEREAS, WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY HAS A NEED TO HAVE INCREASED OCCUPANCY FOR ADDITIONAL STUDENTS WHO CAN BE SERVED THROUGH THE RECONFIGURATION OF RESIDENCE SPACE WITHIN TYSON AND GOSHEN HALLS, AND; WHEREAS, TYSON AND GOSHEN HALLS HAVE THE OCCUPANCY CAPACITY TO SAFELY HOUSE UP TO THREE STUDENTS PER ROOM SPACE IN AT LEAST 84 ROOMS PER BUILDING AT AN ANTICIPATED COST OF $2,003,322. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT WE, THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES OF WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, HEREBY APPROVE THE RECONFIGURATION OF TYSON AND GOSHEN RESIDENCE HALLS. THE PROCUREMENT AND RECONFIGURATION EFFORTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Chairperson Matlawski, “Judge Dozor would you like to have discussion on this?”

Member Dozor, “Yes. The obvious advantage I guess we have up to 222 more students to generate more tuition. From my perspective unless the students think it’s a good idea for the sake of the mental health of the students I think it’s a terrible idea. I think a dormitory a room is relatively small having three students your room should be a place of peace and tranquility and relax a little
bit. I just think we’re packing them in and I don’t think it’s necessary for a few dollars and if I were a parent sending my son here of course you’re pleased they were accepted and please that they’re here. I don’t think it serves the student and I don’t think it serves the mental health. I think it’s a terrible idea. I would reather spend the money on another residence hall. Or we need to find more graduate students or commuter students. There are other ways to generate money. I don’t think it serves the mental health of our students to have three in a room.”

President Fiorentino, “Judge let me ask Vice President Davenport to respond to some of your concerns. Some are things that we spent a lot of time talking about. I want to have him lay out what we were looking at. It’s nice to have extra money. It allows us to lower the price of the rooms and allow some people to afford more easily. And there are a number of other considerations to take into consideration.”

Vice President Davenport, “I follow with President Fiorentino’s comment, this is less about adding a few extra dollars. Last year we found ourselves in situation where we were responding to a lot of students who wanted to live on campus. What we found ourselves doing was responding by placing students in trios. What we’re trying to do now, at least on a pilot, we want to reconfigure the rooms to expand them a little bit. We are going to purchase new furniture, the furniture that is in there now has been there at least 20 years. It is big bulky block furniture. So we are going to get systems furniture to widen the space so that students have the same accoutrements. Everybody has a desk, a dresser,
a bed. And then the approach is not to respond to we will anticipate need for additional housing. We will ask and recruit returning students who might want to live in a trio. Now we have a limited number of beds and what we tell them now is they have to find a bed in the community. What we found is there are students living in these houses and they are having 5 and 6 in a room and they lay mattresses on the floor and when the property owners come in they slide them under the bed. WE are trying to be more proactive buying furniture and reconfiguring the room. We will recruit returning students and ask if they want to live in these trios.”

Member Dozor, “I understand and that is a good point. Did student government have [feedback]?”

Vice President Davenport, “No we have not taken it to student government. In fact what we do know is we have contacted some of our existing students and our director of residence life, Marion McKinney, has gotten students who would want to live in it. It’s a lower prices point, they’re on campus, it’s convenient, safety, security.”

Member Dozor, “All good points but don’t you think that this is something student government should give us advice on?”

Vice President Davenport, “not all students from SGA live on campus and we are responding to a major need that we have to try to figure something out.”

Member Dozor, “It may be a great suggestion but why not have student government input?”
Member Kaliszak, “I know it is something that has been brought to the attention. At the town hall last month it was talked about. From the RA standpoint we have had students who want to be triple because it does lower the cost. I am an RA. I do see where the concern of mental health comes in. From the overall student stand point the purpose of coming to West Chester University is the affordability aspect. I think that a lot of student do appreciate that and they want to be in the triple. Any concerns that come up that is what the RA’s are there for to address these issues.

Member Dozor, “I trust your judgement thank you.”

President Fiorentino, “One big difference in what we are looking at now versus what we did in the past. In the past we tripled because we overbooked and people were not anticipating being tripled. What we are trying to do now if people want to be tripled we will and if they don’t we won’t.”

Member Dozor, “I’m ok now. I’m comfortable with that. Good suggestion.”

Chairperson Matlawski, “And finally, regarding Farrell Stadium Track Renovation, absence of color selected, do we agree to approve WCU’s renovation of the Farrell Stadium Track whereas WCU’s invested the advancement of the athletics and the Farrell Stadium synthetic track requires renovations for continued safe use since it is a heavily used feature of the athletic programs.

The anticipated costs for this renovation is $1,300,038.
MOTION (DOZOR/TOMLINSON)

BE IT RESOLVED THAT WE THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES HEREBY APPROVE THE RENOVATION OF FARRELL STADIUM TRACK. WHEREAS, WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY HAS INVESTED IN THE ADVANCEMENT OF ATHLETICS AND THE FARRELL STADIUM SYNTHETIC TRACK REQUIRES RECONSTRUCTION FOR CONTINUOUS SAFETY SINCE IT IS A HEAVILY USED FEATURE OF THE ATHLETIC PROGRAMS. THE ANTICIPATED COST FOR THIS RENOVATION IS $1,300,038.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT WE, THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES OF WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, HEREBY APPROVE THE RENOVATION OF THE FARRELL STADIUM TRACK. THE PROCUREMENT AND RENOVATION EFFORTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE REGULATIONS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

Chairperson Matlawski, “That concludes Finance and Administration. Next is Academic and Student Affairs, Judge Dozor, did you have anything?”

Member Dozor: “Maybe Erin wants to go first?”

Member Kaliszak: “Good evening, so to start off my report I wanted to echo what President Fiorentino said and Taylor, the SGA hosted its town hall open forum on Tuesday, October 22 and some of the topics of discussion included mental health and as it relates to resources offered by the counseling center. The overcrowdedness of WCU and how that affects student resources like parking, housing, etc., the construction of the new building and its effects on
students, the Ram Initiative, online technology and its use in the classroom, and so much more. Thank you to President Fiorentino and Deputy Provost Jeffery Osgood, and all WCU leadership for attending. The entire panel was able to address these questions in a manner that really did seem to satisfy a lot of the students. The event continues to strengthen that relationship like Taylor was saying and SGA is proud to host this event. And next, a group of WCU student, Taylor Gibson-Campbell, Molly Scollo, Bill Mortimer, Brandon Barker, Ally Drames, Ben Popp, and I had the opportunity to be involved in a focus group with Chancellor Greenstein during his visit. We really did have an amazing conversation that included a lot about what WCU means to us, the on campus and off campus housing resources, advising, class availability, and other areas of the college experience. We also discussed a lot of what students think of West Chester University and what resources we're allocating to them so that they can have the best college experience possible. In conclusion to that focus group, he talked about how the system redesign could impact the student population. So, we got a lot of feedback on our end about class structure and the in-person classes versus the online classes because I know that's something that's being brought up within the system redesign. But all the students who attended absolutely loved it and a lot of them are eager to have some follow up communication with Chancellor Greenstein because of it so, it's very appreciated. Next, SGA hosted its monthly council of organizations meeting and we had a new twist to it where we highlighted student and organization lead initiatives so that organizations have the opportunity to
collaborate more and so that we’re not spending money on things that are already being done and so that we can support other students that have these initiatives that are going on. Next, a group of West Chester University students, Noelle Grace, Corey Hanson, and Elizabeth Shultz, had the opportunity to meet with Senator Dinniman on Friday, November 1st. They discussed various senate bills and initiatives to increase the student voting population across our campus. And then finally to wrap up my report, I wanted to highlight a Public Safety open forum event taking place on Monday, November 18th in Sykes Student Union Ballroom A where students will have the opportunity to voice their thoughts to representatives from both the WCU Department of Public Safety and the West Chester Police Department. Thank you, end of report.”

Chairperson Matlawski, “Thank you. Anything else? Judge?”

Member Dozor: “I think the senator and I just want to incorporate into the minutes the summary and highlights for academic affairs, it’s in our book but we’ll just incorporate that into the minutes.”

Chairperson Matlawski continues, “Thank you. Next, Eli, University Affairs.”

Member Silberman: Colleagues, that’s a new salutation we have here, colleagues. In addition to the committee reports a minute earlier I’d like to highlight just a few additional activities. The university became a member of the Coalition of Urban and Metropolitan Universities, CUNU. CUNU is the longest running and largest organization committed to serving and connecting the world’s urban and metropolitan universities and their partners. It focuses
on strengthening institutions that are developing new responses to the pressing educational, economic, and social issues of the day as anchor institutions within their communities. The Office of Alumni Relations, in partnership with the Career Development Center, launched Ram Nation, an online alumni-to-student mentoring platform. Through Ram Nation, alumni can have a direct impact on student success by offering advice, one-on-one or in groups, job shadows, and/or mock interviews. To date, we have over 200 alumni signed up on the platform with more alums joining every day. Full launch of the site to students will take place in January 2020. In October 2019, West Chester University received notice that it was again included in the Princeton Review Guide to green colleges inclusion is a reflection of WCU’s commitment to environmental, social, and economic sustainability. On a slightly irreverent side, I have a very close friend who is a Princeton grad who once said to me after two martinis, the two most overrated things in the world are home cooking and Princeton. True, true story. Finally, to conclude my remarks, I ask for a motion to approve the resolution for certification of compliance with the Board of Governors policy on external financial support.”
BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COUNCIL OF TRUSTEES OF WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEREBY RECOMMENDS APPROVING THE RESOLUTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS POLICY ON EXTERNAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT.

MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED

Member Silberman: “That concludes my report.”

Chairperson Matlawski, “And the last committee would be Campus Infrastructure and Technology and we’ve heard the report from Vice President Singh and it’s in our packet provided to each of you. With that we’ll move onto reports of special committees.”

AGENDA ITEM IX – REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE:

None

AGENDA ITEM X – REPORT OF LIAISON PERSON TO CONSTITUENCIES:

None

AGENDA ITEM XI – OLD BUSINESS:

None

AGENDA ITEM XII – NEW BUSINESS:

Chairperson Matlawski, “Next item is new business and I know that Dr. John Villella has something to report to us, as the review suggests, the bylaw changes in committee assignment revisions, Dr. Villella.”
Dr. Villella: “Thank you. This is in your packet and was distributed to you right after our last board meeting. Most of these things that we’re talking about today are housekeeping because of our change in committee structure that we had. What we didn’t do when we changed committee structure is change the number of council members that will be part of those committees. So I had sent out to you a document that outlines the number of council members and, academic and student affairs we had, two members of the council, a student member and council of trustees were suggesting change which was for three and university affairs there were three members, the suggestion change was to make that four, the campus resources and infrastructure, we can delete that committee because we have taken those departments and redistributed them into other committee assignments right now, and the time of the meetings, the old bylaws stated the exception of the campus development and facilities committee, we would delete that wording because that committee no longer exists. And the last one, used to be called the finance and budget committee, we would delete budget and administration because that’s the new title for the committee itself.”

Member Dozor: “John, may I ask you some questions, and I apologize for not calling you earlier about it. Some of the changes simply reflect changes of names and characters and committees and I understand that and the balance of what you did was simply to codify what we do now and the numbers that we have but, why do that? Why limit the president or the trustees? Why can’t you
just say, if you say like, “has two members,” well you can say minimally two members. So, from time to time if the president wants to appoint a 3rd member, he appoints a 3rd member. It’s so limited, we shouldn’t have to change it from year to year simply to change, whatever. And also, if you have a committee, there’s nothing wrong with saying, the chair can choose to staff the committee or not staff the committee. Otherwise you’re locking yourself in to, we have to change it from year to year to year if we do different things. I don’t understand why we’re doing that. That was my thought, that’s all.”

President Fiorentino: “It’s up to the council.”

Dr. Villella: “It would be up to the council, sure. I’m ambivalent in terms of what you would want to, I mean you could easily say that you have a minimum of two council members on every committee and then the wording could reflect differences in that.”

Member Dozor: “I noticed the one you said three, well that’s fine too. But you say minimum of two or minimum of three or minimum of two in every one to be appointed, additional members to be appointed by the president or the chair from time to time so, it can grow or it can be more flexible. You know, and also, I would put in there from time to time he can add other committees, he may want to have a special committee for one thing or another.”

Dr. Villella: “That’s in your bylaws, we do have the ability to do that.”
Member Dozor: “Well what is that?”

Dr. Villella: “This is just the changes, I don’t have the complete bylaws here. This just refers the changes.”

Member Dozor: “Oh ok, that’s just my thoughts. I apologize for reading it like a lawyer.”

President Fiorentino: “Well if it’s a question, if it’s going to be modified do we need to modify it and bring it back next meeting.”

Dr. Villella: “I think that because it’s been put out there, and if you want to modify it now if you’re in agreement with it, we can make that change and we could vote to amend your bylaws in that regard. Maybe a minimum of two sounds pretty easy to do and then the only thing that I would probably ask is that if that’s the case then maybe for your meeting in January, or maybe we just leave the committee assignments alone for now. Although, we have people that are assigned, you know what I’m saying. Right now, we have two members that are assigned to the campus resources and infrastructure committee, technically assigned to that but, that committee will not exist anymore so they’ll be reassigned by the chair person.”

Member Tomlinson, “Would you like us, do you want us to approve this now?”

Dr. Villella: “If you’re all comfortable with a minimum of two.”
Member Tomlinson, “Well why don’t we approve this now so we can continue to go on and operate and then if you want to revise it we’ll revise it in another meeting.”

Member Dozor: “Can we approve it with the amendment that any reference to numbers will be a minimum of that number. That’s all, it’s done.”

Dr. Villella: “I’m fine with that and I will amend all the committees to say with a minimum of two Council of Trustees members. I can put that into written form and then I’ll distribute that after the meeting to everybody.”

Member Dozor: “I make that motion.”

Member Tomlinson: “So, we’re not going to approve what he’s got here? We’re going to wait til we amend it and approve in January? Well I’m saying, procedurally, I would think you either approve this and then amend again next year or. Yeah, let’s just wait.”

Dr. Villella: “I will rewrite and resend. “

Member Tomlinson: “I don’t think we should verbally amend, I think we should amend and then read and approve.”

Chairperson Matlawski: “So I do think you should probably include the language that the student of trustee be on the one committee.”

Dr. Villella: “I think that that’s is probably best, yes. I can include that.”
Member Dozor: “And when you send that out to us, can you send us the bylaws at the same time so I can look at it.”

Dr. Villella: “You got it.”

Chairperson Matlawski continues, “Thank you John, appreciate it. With that, I ask if there’s any other items to come before the trustees, anybody have anything?”

**AGENDA ITEM XII – ADJOURNMENT:**

Chairperson Matlawski, “This ends our COT meeting, I’d like to thank everyone for coming and participating.”

---

**MOTION (MATLAWSKI/TOMLINSON):**

**MOTION TO ADJOURN AT 6:04 P.M.**

**MOTION UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.**

---

Respectfully submitted,

Eli Silberman