COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(M) WEST CHESTER UNIVERSITY MASTER'S SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(M) A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the Commission on Accreditation in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

4.0.3: The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's (CSWE) Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

Assessment Measure #1: Practicum Evaluation			
Competency(ies) assessed:	1-9		
Dimension(s) assessed:	C/A; Values; Skills		
When/where students are assessed:	In practicum placement		
	(SWG 597), assessed		
	at the end of spring		
Who accessed student competence:	semester (April) Practicum Instructors		
Who assessed student competence:			
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of	Average scores per		
achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	competency of 80% out of a possible 100%		
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program	80% of students		
expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of			
all measures) for Competencies 1-9:			
Assessment Measure #2: Curriculum Knowledge Assessment – termed			
Assessment Measure #2: Curriculum Knowledge Ass Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst			
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst	rument		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed:	rument 1-9		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502),		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam;		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester Scored by SWEAP,		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester Scored by SWEAP, based on performance		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed: Who assessed student competence:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester Scored by SWEAP, based on performance on multiple choice test		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester Scored by SWEAP, based on performance on multiple choice test Minimum of 50% out of		
Foundation Curriculum Assessment Inst Competency(ies) assessed: Dimension(s) assessed: When/where students are assessed: Who assessed student competence: Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	rument 1-9 Knowledge In-class (SWG 502), via web-based exam; End of spring semester Scored by SWEAP, based on performance on multiple choice test Minimum of 50% out of 100%		

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Specialized Practice

Students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add, that reflect the area of specialized practice. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number of areas of specialized practice and each measure included in the data presented.

Note: The WCU MSW program has one specialized practice program, delivered at two locations. The program is the same at both locations.

Assessment Measure #1: Practicum Evaluation			
Competency(ies) assessed:	1-9		
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge; Skills;		
	Values; C/A		
When/where students are assessed:	In practicum placement		
	(SWG 599), via web-		
	based survey; assessed		
	at the end of spring semester (April)		
Who assessed student competence:	Practicum instructors		
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative	Average scores per		
of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	competency of 80% out		
	of a possible 100%		
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program	80% of students		
expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of			
all measures) for Competencies 1-9:			
Assessment Measure #2: Curriculum Knowledge Assessment – termed			
Specialized Curriculum Assessment Ins Competency(ies) assessed:	1-9		
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge; Values		
When/where students are assessed:	In-class (SWG 563), via		
When where students are assessed.	web-based exam; End		
	of spring semester		
Who assessed student competence:	Scored by SWEAP,		
The december of the second control of the se	based on performance		
	on multiple choice test		
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative	Minimum of 50% out of		
of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	100%		
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program	80% of students		
expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of			
all measures) for Competencies 1-9:			

Directions for completing Form AS 4

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate the percentage of students achieving each outcome measure benchmark, then calculate the percentage of students achieving each competency inclusive of two or more measures for each program option. Programs with multiple program options must present data for each program option, and in aggregate inclusive of all program options per competency. Programs may add/delete columns and to accurately reflect the number of program options offered. This is a required form. The assessment data table may be altered to accurately reflect the number of program options offered and additional program-developed competencies. However, beyond these formatting alternations, the program may not alter the content of this form.

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES PROGRAM OPTION – West Chester Campus 2022 – 2023 ACADEMIC YEAR

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY COMPETENCY BENCHMARK		PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK	
COMPETENCT	(GENERALIST) (n=40)*	(SPECIALIZED) (n=55)*	Generalist Practice	Specialized Practice
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	(98+97)/2= 97.5%	(100+96)/2= 98%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	(98+89)/2= 93.5%	(100+98)/2= 99%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	(98+94)/2= 96%	(100+98)/2= 99%
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	80%	80%	(100+64)/2= 82%	(100+94)/2= 97%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	(100+81)/2= 90.5%	(100+90)/2= 95%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(98+100)/2= 99%	(100+77)/2= 88.5%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(100+96)/2= 98%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+97)/2= 98.5%	(100+94)/2= 97%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+92)/2= 96%	(100+73)/2= 86.5%

^{*}Note: The total enrollment on the West Chester campus was 122. Of those, 95 were in practicum and received all related assessments. 95 students had valid practicum instructor evaluations and 88 students completed the curriculum assessment.

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES PROGRAM OPTION – Philadelphia Campus 2022-2023 ACADEMIC YEAR

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK		
COMPLIENCI	(GENERALIST) (n=26)**	(SPECIALIZED) (n=57)**	Generalist Practice	Specialized Practice
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(100+98)/2= 99%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	(100+80)/2= 90%	(100+98)/2= 99%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	(100+95)/2= 97.5%	(98+96)/2= 97%
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	80%	80%	(100+55)/2= 77.5%	(100+82)/2= 91%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	(100+65)/2= 82.5%	(100+89)/2= 94.5%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(98+87)/2= 92.5%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(100+96)/2= 98%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(100+87)/2= 93.5%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+85)/2= 92.5%	(100+69)/2= 84.5%

^{**}Note: The total enrollment on the Philadelphia campus was 133. Of those, 83 were in practicum and received all related assessments. 83 students had valid practicum instructor evaluations and 65 students completed the curriculum assessment.

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES OVERALL ASSESSMENT FINDINGS 2022-2023 ACADEMIC YEAR

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (GENERALIST) (n=66)***	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK	
COMPETENCY		(SPECIALIZED) (n=112)***	Generalist Practice	Specialized Practice
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80%	80%	(99+98.5)/2= 98.75%	(100+97)/2= 98.5%
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	80%	80%	(99+84.5)/2= 91.75%	(100+98)/2= 99%
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	80%	80%	(99+94.5)/2= 96.75%	(99+97)/2= 98%
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	80%	80%	(100+59.5)/2= 79.75%	(100+88.5)/2= 94%
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	80%	80%	(100+73)/2= 86.5%	(100+89.5)/2= 94.75%
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(99+100)/2= 99.5%	(99+82.5)/2= 90.5%
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+100)/2= 100%	(100+96)/2= 98%
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+98.5)/2= 99.25%	(100+90.5)/2= 95.25%
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	80%	80%	(100+88.5)/2= 94.25%	(100+71)/2= 85.5%

^{***}Note: The total enrollment on both campuses was 255. Of those, 178 were in practicum and received all related assessments. 178 students had valid practicum instructor evaluations and 153 students completed the curriculum assessment.